UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.lispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,026	04/10/2001	Gary Helms	108298613US	8349	
25096 PERKINS COI	7590 08/10/2007 E.L.P		EXAM	INER	
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/10/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/833,026	HELMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTED STATE OF THE MAILING IDENTED STATE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 I	<u>May 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In response to Amendment filed 5/29/07.
- 2. Claims 1-19 and 21-36 are pending

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17, 18, 22-24, 28, 29, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsuan (2002/0116240).
- 5. With respect to Claims 1, 11, 22, 32 and 36: Hsuan discloses the use of a method, with computer instructions and system with means for tracking orders or multiple unites of items (see abstract) comprising the means for performing the steps:
 - a. Providing an order database (90, with corresponding detailed description) with an order record for a plurality of items;
 - b. Creating a unit order database (80, article database, with corresponding detailed description) having a record for each unit with a unique article identifier, and a link to the order database (see abstract and paragraph 0038); and

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

c. Setting a status of each unit of each order, when the status changes with the order (see abstract, and Figures 11 and 12 with corresponding detailed description). The examiner considers changes in the order database, to include changes such as tracking.

- 6. With respect to Claims 2, 12, 23, 33 and 36: See Hsuan, abstract.
- 7. With respect to Claims 3, 13, 24 and 34: See Hsuan, abstract, and paragraph 0039.
- 8. With respect to Claims 7, 17 and 28: Hsuan discloses the updating of the status of the orders are done on a continuous basis (paragraphs 0037 and 0043), which the examiner considers to be a periodic basis.
- 9. With respect to Claims 8, 18 and 29: The order of Hsuan are tracked on a continuous basis, therefore the examiner considers this to be multiple times a day, which the examiner considers to be done on a daily basis because it is done every day.
- 10. With respect to Claims 10 and 31: See Hsuan, abstract and paragraph 0038.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 9, 19 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsuan (US 2002/0116240).

Art Unit: 3629

- 13. Hsuan discloses setting a status in the record, however fails to disclose the status being a shipping status, and ship date. However, the specific type of information that the status is based on is deemed to be nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The creating of database steps and updating status steps would be performed the same regardless of what type of information the status is based on, the claims merely store this information, not use the ship date in any particular fashion, or in any further steps.. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F .2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed.Cir.1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F .3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 14. Claims 4-6, 13-16, 21, 25-27 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsuan as applied to claims 1, 11, 22 and 32 above, and further in view of Peachey-Kountz et al. (6,463,345).
- 15. With respect to Claims 4-6, 13-16, 21, 25-27 and 35: Hsuan discloses the use of an order and having a record for each item in an order, but fail to disclose the order can be modified to increase or decrease the quantity of the order, and either adding a unit record or setting a record to cancelled. Peachey-Kountz discloses the use of orders where the quantity of items are changed and modified due to backorders or cancellation of orders (see Figures 5-7, Column 11, lines 53-67), and the record status is updated to reflect the change, (see Figures 5 and 6 with corresponding detailed description). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hsuan, to include the capability of changing the order, and the

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026 Page 5

Art Unit: 3629

records reflecting the change, in order to provide an improved reporting system. (See Peachey-Kountz, Column 9)

Response to Arguments

- 16. With respect to Applicant's argument that the claims are directed to tracking orders for multiple units of items: First, does this mean that if there is an order with one item ordered, then the system process or track the order? Second, the word "for" is an intended use limitation, of how the system is intended to be used, and not how it is actually being used. The claims state the orders are for one or more items, which means it can be only one item, and state a quantity associated therewith, which can be a quantity of one. Therefore, the body of the claims lends itself to function with an order of only one item with only one unit. Argument is not considered to be persuasive and rejection stands as stated above.
- 17. With respect to Applicant's argument that the tracking of Hsuan, is not a status "change": When an item is completed and has to be picked up, the examiner considers this to be a status change. Hsuan tracks it through the cleaning process, therefore the examiner considers this to be status changing. Argument is not considered to be persuasive.
- 18. The claims recite creating a unit order database using information from the existing order database, however does not fully state how the creating is being done, and does not specify what information is being used. The examiner suggests focusing on the creating step in the claim, due to the fact that appears to be where the invention lies.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026

Art Unit: 3629

What information does it take from the existing database, and exactly how is the unit order database formed? Does it label each record differently?

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Plucinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jamisue Plucinski
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3629